

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/722,631	Applicant(s) BOHN ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL A. NEWMAN	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL A. NEWMAN.

(2) Frank Agovino (Reg No. 27,416).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2008

Time: 9:50 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was notified that claim 14 depended on claim 3, which was previously cancelled and its limitations included in the independent claim 1. Applicant's Representative authorized an Examiner's Amendment to correct this issue by making claim 14 depend on claim 1.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

